

Dear council members,

I am writing with a reasoned appeal for you to **reject the county executive's vaccine passport proposal.**

First, and foremost, this proposal is a pernicious attempt to exercise governmental control over the most personal and intimate decisions of the citizens of this county. It is no different in nature than the type of persecution, discrimination and governmental control that was exercised against the Jews and others in Nazi Germany and in other totalitarian regimes, including the current Chinese Communist Party through its social credit system.

Prior to WWII, the Nazi's tried to cause the German people to turn against the Jews by portraying Jews as being a biological threat to the welfare of the German race. It was all based on lies.



The rationale behind vaccine passports is also based on lies. Unvaccinated people do not pose a unreasonable health risk to anyone else in the population. It is obvious based on simple observation and it has also recently been acknowledged by the director of the CDC that the Covid vaccine does not prevent infection or transmission of the disease. To the extent that the vaccine does protect anyone, then anyone who wants such protection can voluntarily get the vaccine. What is the possible rationale for saying that the vaccinated are being endangered by the unvaccinated?

Some may argue that the unvaccinated experience more severe symptoms and are more likely to be hospitalized and use up limited hospital resources. It does appear to be the case that unvaccinated people who have not yet contracted Covid and developed natural antibodies usually experience more severe symptoms. That might be less true with Omicron. But, with rare exceptions, those people should not need to be hospitalized because there are effective treatment protocols that have been known for a long time and the products necessary for effective treatment can and should be readily available and in the medicine cabinets of every citizen. The reason they are not known by the general population is because government leaders, including Montgomery County government leaders and its health department are not telling us about these prevention and treatment measures and because most major media outlets and major social

media companies have been engaged in an aggressive and effective campaign to suppress all information about effective treatments other than masks, distancing and vaccines.

I have heard several highly credentialed medical experts say recently that in light of the existence of effective early treatment for Covid, which treatments have been known and used since long before the vaccines were developed, the reasons most deaths and hospitalizations have occurred is because people did not know about these treatments and the reason they didn't know about them is because of the efforts to suppress information about these treatments.

Those unvaccinated individuals who contract Covid and know how to treat it will come out of it with strong antibodies to protect them from Covid in the future and there is mounting medical evidence that those who are vaccinated have much weaker immunity, partly because the vaccine itself compromises the immune system of the people who take it. And it is well-known that the efficacy of the vaccine wears off and people need to keep taking booster shots. I personally welcome exposure the corona virus because I know how to treat it and I want to build my natural immunity to it.

I have also recently heard medical experts describe the omicron variant that seems to be spreading rapidly among the population as possibly more of a blessing than a curse because it spreads easily, produces relatively mild symptoms and enables the people who get it to develop robust natural antibodies. I don't know whether the vaccinated people develop the same robust antibodies. Those facts about omicron led one medical expert to comment that this virus could realistically be viewed as an airborne Covid vaccine and if it had been developed intentionally in a lab, the inventor should be awarded the Nobel prize. My point in this paragraph is to say that the very thing that is likely help us produce the herd immunity that we have been wanting all along seems to be the subject of draconian efforts on behalf of the Montgomery County government to prevent it from spreading and having its beneficiary effect.

The County executive seems to want you to believe that the example of other jurisdictions who have implemented vaccine passport laws should encourage Montgomery County to do the same. That is a horrible argument for an evil policy. The record of history is replete with examples of evil leaders and evil policies that should never be emulated.

In addition to the importance of resisting the totalitarian and discriminatory impulses underlying the move toward a vaccine passport, it should also be resisted because a primary motivation is to incentivize unvaccinated people to get vaccinated and the problem with that is that the vaccine itself is more harmful than it is helpful. The federal government's database of vaccine adverse events known as VAERS, which is known because of underreporting to contain only a small fraction of the actual events that occur, shows that the Covid vaccine has caused exponentially more deaths and other serious adverse reactions than every other vaccine in history combined.

One might respond that it is still preventing a lot more serious illnesses and death than it is causing. That fact would only be a justification for pushing the vaccine if there were not a safe alternative and, as stated above, there are eminently safe alternatives. Why haven't all government entities and health departments and media outlets and everyone who supposedly cares about public health focused all their attention on safe methods of prevention and treatment?

Who benefits from suppressing this information and focusing only on governmental restrictions on liberty and the promotion of substances that are making the major pharmaceutical companies fabulously wealthy, which companies demonstrably control the public health regulatory apparatus at the federal level?

I also want to point out explicitly what should be common sense upon a moment's reflection. The mantra, "they are safe and effective" that one gets whenever one questions the vaccines is obviously propaganda intended to hypnotize the population into accepting it without question. The reason I say this is obviously common sense is that by definition and common use, the phrase "it is safe" as applied to a substance introduced into the human body, can only be said to be true many years after putting the substance in the body because only after many years can one know the long-term effects of a substance. Saying today that the vaccine is safe is just as ridiculous as a car dealer telling you that his 2022 model car will be the most reliable car you have ever owned. He will only know whether it is a reliable car if it proves to be reliable during the course of the next 10 or 20 years of use. As applied to vaccines, that is why vaccines have historically undergone trials for more than a decade before being approved for use in the general public.

Because the Covid vaccine has not undergone long-term trials, is by definition an experimental drug and it is illegal to exert any coercive force to require people to take an experimental drug and is also illegal to include people in medical experiments without their informed consent with respect to their participation as subjects in a medical experiment. What the Montgomery County Council has done when it mandated vaccines for public employees and what it is trying to do by coercing people to take this vaccine is literally a crime against humanity in violation of the Nuremberg code that was developed in response to the Nazi medical crimes against humanity.

In addition to being a violation of the Nuremberg code, the use of this experimental drug is also a violation of the Emergency Use Authorization Act because by law this vaccine cannot be legally administered except as an emergency treatment when "there is no adequate, approved, and available alternative to the product for diagnosing, preventing, or treating such disease or condition." See 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3. Obviously, the ongoing, aggressive efforts to suppress information about safe and effective alternatives to the vaccines is being used, for some reason, to support the ongoing efforts to illegally convince and coerce people to get the covid vaccines when the use of the vaccines is in fact illegal.

For all of these reasons and more I appeal to you to do the right thing and reject vaccine passport proposal.

Steve Plaisted